

Collection of comments and suggestions on EPB Standard:

EN 16798-7:2017

Energy performance of buildings – Ventilation for buildings – Part 7: Calculation methods for the determination of air flow rates in buildings including infiltration (Module M5–5)

Date of report:2022-10-03Prepared by:Gerhard ZweifelDistribution:Public

More info: <u>www.epb.center</u>

Contact <u>www.epb.center/contact</u>

General disclaimer: Although this document has been developed with care, neither the authors or contributors warrant that it is free of errors. The EPB Center expressly disclaims any liability or responsibility arising from use of this document or any consequences thereof. Any responsibility arising from the use of this document lies with the user. See page 2 for specific disclaimer on this type of document.



DISCLAIMER

In general, the National Standards Body can be contacted for information and comments on any CEN or ISO standard. A list of National Standards Bodies can be found at the <u>CEN</u> or <u>ISO</u> websites.

The EPB Center collections of comments and suggestions on specific EPB standards (or technical reports) are purely informative and only intended:

- (1) as information **to help the users** to better understand and interpret the specific EPB standard, and
- (2) to make the preparation of comments on the specific EPB standard by others (such as **National Standards Bodies** or other stakeholders) more effective: if they agree with these collected comments and suggestions they could refer to it without the need to repeat the whole content; and if or where they disagree, it could boost the formulation of alternative comments and solutions.

In no way these clarifications or proposals shall be regarded as corrigenda or addenda of the related CEN or ISO documents. It is up to the competent official standardization bodies to decide upon the preparation of an amendment or the revision of the EPB document in question, in accordance with the official CEN or ISO procedures.

The information in this document is purely informal and it neither represents the views of any of the standardization bodies, nor the official opinion of the European Union. Neither the CEN or ISO bodies, nor the European Union institutions and bodies, or any person acting on their behalf may be held responsible for the use which may be made of the information contained therein.

Contents

Page

1	Introduction1-3
2	Table with comments and proposed changes2-1
3	Longer explanations
3.1	General



1 Introduction

The EPB Center is a user platform for the EPB Standards and EPB Technical Reports, in short "the EPB documents". These EPB documents are developed and published by CEN and ISO, the European and international standards bodies. Therefore the EPB Center works closely with experts active in CEN and ISO. Among various other activities, the EPB Center collects questions and comments on these EPB documents.

Based on the enquiries and suggestions received, the EPB Center experts prepare, to the best of their knowledge, clarifications and/or proposals for corrections.

- 1. Clarifications are given in the form of short texts, directly shown on the website (FAQ). When necessary, the short answer is complemented by a more extensive explanation as a [pdf] file.
- 2. Proposals (comments and suggestions) that could be taken into consideration in the context of future revisions of EPB documents are published in the form of the CEN/ISO commenting table. This standardized format ensures an efficient communication with CEN or ISO committees. For each EPB document for which there is feedback, there is an autonomous file.

The present document is one of the series of proposals mentioned under point 2.

The comments and suggestions are published anonymously for reasons of privacy. The EPB Center reviews and (optionally) generalises each received comment and adds a proposal. The EPB Center experts aim at the best possible support for the implementation and application of the EPB documents in practice.

Acknowledgement

Although the issues are published anonymously, the EPB Center gratefully acknowledges all contributions.

Inform CEN and ISO

The EPB Center will, at the appropriate time, forward all clarifications and proposals to the relevant CEN or ISO committee(s) for potential use in future updates of the EPB documents.

Other available comment files at EPB Center website

To see whether there already exist clarifications and/or a comment table for any of the other EPB documents, please consult the te following webpage: <u>https://epb.center/support/epbcenter_commentfiles/</u>

Feedback?

Additional feedback on an EPB standard or technical report can be submitted via the <u>contact form</u> on the EPB Center website. Please describe the issue clearly.

NOTE 1 Also technologies not yet covered by the EPB standard can be reported. Please describe the technology clearly, e.g. via a link to a webpage. If possible, also add existing (for instance national) assessment methodologies for the technology (by means of web links, etc.).

NOTE 2 If a specific EPB standard or technical report is under review or other ballot, comments or suggestions should be communicated directly to the National Standards Bodies who are preparing the votes.



2 Table with comments and proposed changes

NOTEISO Commenting template guidelines van be found at:https://helpdesk-docs.iso.org/article/299-commenting-template-guidelines

Comments and suggestions Date: 2022-10						Date: 2022-10-03	Document: EPB Center comment file Project: EN 16798-7:2017		
MB/ NC ¹	Comment No (for ref.)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comme	ents	Proposed change		In this EPB Center document, this column is for internal use only
			,						
EPB Cen ter	1			ge	The inclusion of clauses for supply air flow rates and/o air for mechanical ventilati was made in the good inte properly in the modular str However, during the applie standard/spreadsheet in c standards, especially EN of EPB Center case studie (https://epb.center/support inclusion (and therefore se 16798-5-1) has proven to Based on this insight, it mi reconsider the separation the respective parts to EN the less pure distinction of	or the calculation of or conditions of supply on / air conditioning ention to fit the standard ructure. cation of the onnection with other 16798-5-1, in the frame es t/case-studies/), this eparation from EN be rather hindering. ight be wise to of contents and move 16798-5-1, conceding the modules.	Consider clauses 6.4.2.5 and 6.4.3.2.3 ar be moved to EN 16798-5-1.	nd 4 to	
EPB Cen ter	2	6.4.3.2.1, A.3.2.4 and B.3.2.4	Formula (9), Table A.4 and B.4	te	Formula (9) in connection values for f_{ctrl} in tables A.4 values for the required out for demand controlled ven with an hourly calculation urgent need for hourly var dependent on the occupat options as indicated in Tak To overcome this, in the fr case studies (<u>https://epb.c</u> <u>studies/</u>), as well as in nat spreadsheet of EN 16798- used by introducing a func on the hourly occupation, template given in table A.4 Also, in the Swiss national there are functions for f_{ctrl} control options, in deviatio A.4. This can be provided	with the constant /B.4 leads to constant tdoor air flow rate, even tilation in connection interval. There is an ying air flow rates ion and different control ole B.4. rame of EPB Center center/support/case- tional work, using the -7, a work around was ction for f_{ctrl} dependent deviating from the 4. I annex, in table NA.4 given for different n from the template as a proposal.	Change formula (9) and/or tables A.4 and order to obtain hourly varying required ou flow rates depending on occupation and o options.	I B.4 in tdoor air control	
EPB									

1 MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

Comments and suggestions						Date: 2022-10-03	Document: EPB Center comment file	Project:	EN 16798-7:2017
MB/ NC ¹	Comment No (for ref.)	Clause/ Subclause (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/ Table/ (e.g. Table 1)	Type of comment ²	Comments		Proposed change		In this EPB Center document, this column is for internal use only
Cen ter									

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial



3 Longer explanations

3.1 General

These extra pages below the table (if any) are provided for more extensive explanation of specific comments or proposed changes.

NOTE When the table is provided to CEN or ISO, it will be handled automatically for the collation of comments submitted on CEN or ISO. In that case all the information that is not in the table itself will be lost and needs to be submitted separately.

<not applicable>